1	lines of you'd let them go on the bus, you'd
2	let them go to the grocery store, but if you
3	had a sex offender would you let him into an
4	internet chat room frequented by children, if
5	it was up to you?
6	MR. LYON: Objection, irrelevance.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sustained.
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
9	he was able to go on at length for posing his
10	hypotheticals to Detective Shilling, and I
11	think he posed hypotheticals that are
12	different, not similar, to holding an amateur
13	radio license. I'd like to get Detective
14	Shilling's opinion as to some situation
15	similar to holding an amateur radio license.
16	MR. LYON: Well, I'll stipulate
17	that you wouldn't, I will stipulate that if
18	you could control it you wouldn't want a sex
19	offender in a chat room with children present.
20	But I won't stipulate at all that it's
21	analogous to ham radio.
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think that

1 goes to the weight, your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm 2 prepared to concede that or to conclude that 3 one way or the other. I'm going to direct you 4 to steer clear of the internet. 5 BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: 6 Okay. Detective Shilling, if you 7 were in charge of a club where the people had 8 similar interests and many of them were 9 10 children and you were on the membership 11 committee, would you be inclined to grant a sex offender membership to the club, in light 12 of the grooming concerns you've expressed? 13 If there were children that were 14 part of that group, I would not want them as 15 16 a member. If I told you on ham radio there 17 were places, certain particular frequencies 18 where people gather, congregate, and chat 19 without their identities being immediately 20 οf those 21 ascertainable, and some were children, would you have serious concern about 22

1	permitting a sex offender to participate?
2	A Absolutely.
3	Q Okay. There was a bit of
4	testimony about the incidents in Exhibit 4.
5	I'd like you to turn your attention to Exhibit
6	4, page 35, the incident, the traffic
7	altercation with a woman. I believe you
8	testified that this was one of the factors in
9	raising him to a level three in 2004, and my
10	question
11	A On page 36 you said?
12	Q Thirty-five and thirty-six.
13	A Okay.
14	Q I guess it goes to 37, 35 to 37.
15	My question is what concerns about this
16	incident affected your determination to raise
17	him to a level three?
18	MR. LYON: Objection. I believe
19	that was asked and answered on direct.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
21	I think on direct there were specific
22	questions answered. However, he did not get

a chance to fully explain what all his
concerns are.
MR. LYON: I don't believe I
crossed on that incident, did I?
MS. LANCASTER: Yes, you did.
MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, you
did.
MR. LYON: Okay. If I did, I did.
JUDGE SIPPEL: And your question
is what?
MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Just what
concerns led to, the answer that this was one
of the factors that raised him to a level
three was one of the answers to one of your
questions.
JUDGE SIPPEL: It was my question.
I remember that exchange.
MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. I
just wanted a chance to explain all his
concerns.
JUDGE SIPPEL: He did.
MS. LANCASTER: Well, I think we

1	can ask him if he covered everything, your
2	Honor.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't think he
4	gave me an incomplete answer. Do you think he
5	did?
6	MS. LANCASTER: I think
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: He didn't
8	get to go back and give him a chance to go
9	MS. LANCASTER: Just ask him if
10	there's anything that he'd like to add to
11	that.
12	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
13	Q Is there anything you'd like to
14	add to your previous answer?
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you recall my
16	question and your answer with respect to,
17	basically it was the same question. The two
L8	matters, the traffic incident and then the
L9	Mercer Island?
20	THE WITNESS: I do recall the
21	answer.
22	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:

1	Q Is there anything you'd like to
2	add to that answer, any further concerns?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What are they?
5	A Part of the concern was not only
6	the fact about the victim thinking that he may
7	be a police officer and Mr. Titus not
8	answering her on that fact when she asked him,
9	but the fact that he had used violence against
10	her.
11	MR. LYON: Objection, your Honor.
12	That conclusion of the witness is based upon
13	a hearsay police report that is not in
14	evidence but for the truth of the matters
15	asserted. And absent the witness having
16	personal knowledge of the facts, it's not
17	appropriate for him to make that allegation.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Mr. Titus
19	testified that he used violence yesterday,
20	your Honor.
21	MS. LANCASTER: Testified he
22	twisted her arm behind her back, if you

1	recall, your Honor.
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: He confirmed
3	the incidents that we're talking about.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: The reason I'm
5	hesitating, I'm trying to remember. He
6	certainly did testify in that area, and it was
7	not a complete rejection of the report.
8	MS. LANCASTER: He testified that
9	she put her finger up, and he told her to move
10	her finger, and when she didn't he grabbed her
11	arm and twisted it behind her back. That was
12	his testimony yesterday.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's shaking his
14	head. Mr. Titus is right here with us.
15	MS. LANCASTER: We can go back and
16	have the court reporter
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's going to
18	take a lot of time.
19	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Detective
20	Shilling relied on the police report, and if
21	that was one of his current concerns and it
22	didn't happen
1	

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, go ahead. Go
2	ahead, finish it up. He already answered the
3	question of yours.
4	MR. LYON: Let's just move on
5	then.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's move on.
7	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
8	Q Turning your attention next to the
9	Mercer Island incident, 38, 39, 40 of that
10	same exhibit, there was substantial testimony
11	that there was concern that Mr. Titus was
12	giving the appearance of being a law
13	enforcement officer. Do you recall that
14	testimony?
15	A I do.
16	Q Okay. Do you have any other
17	information relating to him giving the general
18	appearance of being a law enforcement officer?
19	A In this incident?
20	Q No, aside from this incident.
21	MR. LYON: Objection, beyond the
22	scope of cross.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sustained.
2	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
3	Q With respect to the Mercer Island
4	incident and raising him to a level three,
5	were you required to put in writing, put your
6	assessment of that in writing?
7	A No.
8	Q And is that because the why was
9	that?
10	A Because his case was not one of
11	the ones that went through the End of Sentence
12	Review Board.
13	Q Why was that that it didn't go
14	through the End of Sentence Review Board?
15	A Because he was released pre End of
16	Sentence Review Board, before the End of
17	Sentence Review Board was created.
18	Q Okay. And with respect to the
19	paragraph in your testimony regarding the End
20	of Sentence Review Board and departures having
21	to be in writing, do you have an understanding
22	of why that paragraph is in there?

1	A Well, it was to give a complete
2	record about how the End of Sentence Review
3	Board works and the fact that it was created
4	to, you know, make things consistent across
5	the state.
6	Q Okay. So would any written
7	assessment ever have been required of Mr.
8	Titus? Would any assessment of his risk be
9	required to be in writing?
10	A No.
11	Q Okay. And why is that?
12	A Because he was released prior to
13	the End of Sentence Review Board going into
14	being enacted.
15	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
16	in light of all the evidence regarding the
17	written assessment in this matter, his most
18	recent one and his score on the MSOST and the
19	score on the RRASOR, what I'd like to do, I
20	don't need to ask any questions to clarify it
21	but I'd like to put that written assessment

into evidence. I apologize, my copy is

upstairs.
MS. LANCASTER: George, can I
borrow yours and go make a copy of it?
MR. LYON: You can. I'll note
right now I'm going to object.
JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's get a ruling
on it. Why?
MR. LYON: Well, there's been
substantial testimony from this witness
himself as to the unreliability of this
report, I'm sorry, of the procedures and of
the use of the tool itself.
JUDGE SIPPEL: But you did present
it to him and asked him a series of questions
about it.
MR. LYON: I did.
JUDGE SIPPEL: I can remember he
had it in his hands. He was looking at it.
MR. LYON: I did. There's no
doubt about it. But I think in order, as a
foundation, to have this into evidence and to
have the Bureau rely upon the results in their

1	findings, there has to be some basis to
2	conclude that this tool has some degree of
3	scientific reliability, and I don't think
4	that's been shown here.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I would
6	receive it just for the purposes of, you know,
7	issues of credibility and completeness of the
8	record. If I'm going to have to write on this
9	thing, and he's testified to it and he's had
10	it in front of him, I feel a lot more
11	comfortable having the document in there. I'm
12	not necessarily going to reach any conclusions
13	or findings on the basis of it, but I'm not
14	promising I won't either. It's in the context
15	of the whole record.
16	MR. LYON: I made my objection.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you made your
18	objection. It's overruled.
19	MS. LANCASTER: Thank you.
20	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
21	Q I'd like to turn your attention,
22	Detective Shilling, to Exhibit 17, Titus

Exhibit 17. I'm sorry. 1 While JUDGE SIPPEL: you're 2 looking at that, Detective, Mr. Knowles-3 Kellett, let me ask you, is there 4 particular reason why the government, why the 5 Bureau didn't report that last assessment in 6 as a government exhibit? 7 Without KNOWLES-KELLETT: MR. 8 Detective Shilling's explanation, which is 9 very long-winded, your Honor, it's very 10 difficult to read that assessment, so we 11 didn't think it was all that helpful. 12 once you ask him the scores on all the various 13 things and go through what Mr. Lyon went 14 through, then you ought to have it because 15 you're not going to be able to understand the 16 testimony. It's not that we thought it was in 17 any way faulty or anything. 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. 19 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: You know, we 20 had Detective Shilling, who's an expert in 21

using this actuarial tool. The tool has some

question as to its reliability. I disagree with his characterization that it's wholly unreliable by the physical scoring of it. In his written testimony, it tells you what all the totals were and how that comes out on, you know, determining a risk level. And we thought that, you know, the individual scoring wasn't all that helpful, at least not to me. But it's going to help me read his testimony.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand.

MR. LYON: I'll note for the record, your Honor, that I comprehend counsel's words, but I thought that Exhibit 4 was purported to be Mr. Titus' entire file at the Seattle Police. I find it difficult to understand why the evaluation wasn't included before.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Me, too. We had a lot of documents in there that we had to wrestle with this business of whether or not it would come in because of hearsay, completeness, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera.

That one looked, I don't want to say slam dunk, but that one looked like it was pretty easy. I haven't seen it yet, but, I mean, it looks --

MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I apologize. The complete file that Detective Shilling gave to me when I visited him in February is Exhibit 4. That document postdates my request, and I didn't even know that there was documentation that was added to the file until George's subpoena and after he submitted the written testimony. So, in fact, when I visited him in February, he double checked that I had a complete copy of his file.

MR. LYON: The file, your Honor, I would note that I did not undertake to examine the witness as to his scoring of Mr. Titus on this test, and I am concerned about some of the scoring on the test, which is another reason why I did not, I have some issue as to it being placed into the record. I would like to reserve the opportunity to determine if I

б

want to further examine the witness as to the 1 scoring of this. 2 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, if we 3 might respond. I mean, when is he going to 4 make this decision because Detective Shilling, 5 we weren't planning to have him stay here past 6 the close of his testimony. I mean, he's here 7 from across the country, and he's not going to 8 be here indefinitely. 9 Well, I understand MR. LYON: 10 counsel's concern. However, it was not until 11 five minutes ago that I understood Bureau 12 counsel wanted to put this document into 13 evidence. I gave it to the witness for a very 1.4 limited point, which was to refresh his 15 recollection as to the date he did it. 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we did cover 17 some points. I can remember specifically, for 18 example, there was one category you describe 19 a certain, correct me if I'm wrong, Detective, 20 but you describe a certain number to a victim 21

as to whether it's a male or a female, and

1	it's a higher number if it's a male than a
2	female.
3	MR. LYON: That was in my
4	examination of the RRASOR, your Honor.
5	MS. LANCASTER: Which is part of
6	the document.
7	MR. LYON: Well, that's true, but
8	it's also an independent assessment tool.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it's a lot of
10	things, but I feel I'm going to have a
11	difficult time making sense of the record
12	without that document when you have all that
13	testimony around it. I mean, I understand
14	what you're saying. You don't want to concede
15	the validity of what's in there, and though
16	you really haven't had an opportunity to go
17	after this witness in the sense of going down
18	this piece-by-piece-by-piece.
19	MR. LYON: Right.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: But I don't want to
21	do that either.
22	MR. LYON: Yes.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: But I don't see how
2	I can not put the document in.
3	MR. LYON: Okay. Time is moving
4	along, and I'd appreciate if we can keep going
5	with the examination.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, sir. What are
7	you going to number this as?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: EB Exhibit
9	14, your Honor.
10	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
11	document was marked as Government
12	Exhibit No. 14 for
13	identification.)
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: EB 14? Okay, looks
15	good.
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: And this is
17	
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: EB Number 14. How
19	many pages?
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Four.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Four pages?
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And
2	this is entitled "Washington State Sex
3	Offender Risk Level Classification, " revised
4	1999, and it pertains to Mr. David Lee Titus.
5	It's been identified, and I understand there
6	is an objection to it, which I have overruled,
7	but it's been received in evidence at this
8	time as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit 14.
9	(Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.
10	14 was received into evidence.)
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Next question.
12	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
13	Q Oh, turning your attention to
14	Titus Exhibit 17.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Titus 17.
16	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
17	Q I'd like to draw your attention on
18	the first page, this is the January 2006
19	bulletin from the Washington State Institute
20	for Public Policy. I'd like to draw your
21	attention down in the summary, key findings
22	regarding the classification tool.

A Yes.
Q Is your understanding that the
second bullet reads, "The risk assessment has
little or not accuracy in predicting sex
offender recidivism. Some elements, however,
predict felony sex recidivism with moderate
accuracy?"
A Yes.
Q Did you understand that to be the
case?
A Yes.
Q Do you consider, despite all of
Mr. Lyon's testimony
MR. LYON: Objection.
BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
Q Do you consider these tools to be
worthless in terms of predicting sex offender
recidivism?
JUDGE SIPPEL: You're going to
have to restate that question.
BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
Q In your opinion, is the WASOST

1	worthless in terms of predicting sex offender
2	recidivism?
3	A No, it is not.
4	Q Is it
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: He already
6	testified to that. Go ahead.
7	THE WITNESS: It is a tool, and
8	that's all it is. It is a tool in a toolbox.
9	There are a lot of tools, and this is just
10	one.
11	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
12	Q Okay. With respect to Mr. Titus'
13	sex offender assessments, is it one of the
14	tools that you used to assess him?
15	MR. LYON: That has been
16	voluminous, your Honor. It's been voluminous.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. Objection
18	sustained. We have to move on.
19	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I'm right at
20	the end, your Honor.
21	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
22	Q In light of the total tools that

you used to assess Mr. Titus' risk, you continue to believe that he's a level-three sex offender?

Yes. Again, based on the totality of the circumstances, based on the pattern of sex offenses, based on the pattern violence, based on what they said in the sex summary for relapse offender treatment prevention. These are things that you need to look for, and one of them was aggressiveness, being in places where children tend to congregate. I mean, these are all things that go into this. And when you take a look at all of these different tools that we have in the toolbox and based on years of experience in dealing with sex offenders, all of these things raise grave concerns to me, believe that Mr. Titus is a high-risk to reoffend.

Q Does that mean he will re-offend?

A Absolutely not. It does not mean

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	Q Does it necessarily mean that he's
2	more likely than not to re-offend?
3	\mathtt{A}_{\cdot} It means that he is at a high risk
4	to re-offend.
5	Q Okay. So you don't put a
6	numerical value of prediction on that?
7	A No.
8	Q Okay. You have concerns regarding
9	him around children?
10	A Absolutely.
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No further
12	questions, your Honor.
13	RECROSS EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. LYON:
15	Q Detective Shilling, a screwdriver
16	is a tool, correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Not very good for driving in a
19	nail, is it?
20	A It's not very good for that
21	purpose.
22	Q All right. There is no evidence

1	in Mr. Titus' file that you maintain that
2	indicates that he would be a threat to a
3	relative; is that correct?
4	A If the relative was a child? He
5	hasn't offended against a child that was a
6	relative, but he has offended against
7	children. So I would be concerned with him
8	babysitting a relative who was a child.
9	Absolutely.
10	Q Okay. Now, his mother didn't
11	indicate that he's babysat his nieces or
12	nephews, did she?
13	A She
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are there any
15	nieces and nephews?
16	MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.
17	MR. LYON: Yes.
18	THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at
19	her statement again.
20	MR. LYON: Okay. And you're
21	welcome to.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: What's the number

1	on that?
2	MR. LYON: I'll get it for you.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're looking at
4	the testimony of Anna Harris.
5	MR. LYON: That's correct, your
6	Honor.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: And she is Mr.
8	Titus' mother.
9	MR. LYON: That's correct, your
10	Honor.
11	BY MR. LYON:
12	Q There's nothing about babysitting
13	his nieces and nephews in Exhibit 10, is
14	there?
15	A I don't see anything where he's
16	babysitting his nieces and nephews.
17	Q What she does indicate is that
18	he's answered their questions and explained
19	things to them, correct?
20	A He listens to their little
21	problems and takes time to teach them about
22	whatever they may be interested in or learning